

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/655,842	09/06/2000	Kiyoshi Ueyoko	0229-0608P	9804
75	590 12/27/2001			
Birch Stewart Kolasch & Birch LLP			EXAMINER	
P O Box 747	74 22040 0747		FISCHER, JUSTIN R	
rans Church, v	'A 22040-0747			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1733	5
			DATE MAILED: 12/27/2001	$\mathcal{O}$

<sup>2</sup> Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. <del> </del>			BO				
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	09/655,842	UEYOKO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Justin R Fischer	1733					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, howeve within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SI cause the application to b	er, may a reply be timely filed  um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely  K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this or ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 S</u>	September 2000 .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi	is action is non-fina	al.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under the			e merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction and/or ele	ection requirement						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been receiv	red.					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage     application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Not Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTo Other:	1				

Application/Control Number: 09/655,842

Art Unit: 1733

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: a pneumatic tire having a pair of bead portions, a carcass ply of cords, a rubber bead apex, and a fiber reinforced rubber spacer formed of a single rubber strip (claims 2-7) or two rubber strips (claims 8-9).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Page 3

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Mr. Joseph Kolasch on Wednesday, December 12, 2001 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

## Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Justin R Fischer** whose telephone number is **(703) 605-4397**. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Ball can be reached on (703) 308-2058. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Justin Fischer

December 17, 2001

lviichael vv. Ball Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700